	1	1	
Snell & Wilmer LLP	1 2 3 4 5 6	Joshua D. Cools Nevada Bar No. 11941 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 784-5200 Facsimile: (702) 784-5252 Email: jcools@swlaw.com Attorneys for Defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY	
	7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
	10	RONALD R. JARAGOSKY, a single man,	Case No. 2:17-CV-02089-RFB-GWF
	11	Plaintiff,	
	12	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE
	13	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, a Delaware	DATES (SECOND REQUEST)
	14	corporation; and JOHN DOE ENTITIES I-X, inclusive,	
	15	Defendants.	
	16		
	17	Plaintiff Ronald R. Jaragosky and Defendant Ford Motor Company, hereby stipulate and	
	18	agree to continue discovery in this matter by approximately 60 days.	
	19	I.	
	20	<u>BACKGROUND</u>	
	21	This is a complicated product liability action arising from a motor vehicle collision	
	22	involving a 2001 Ford Explorer and a 2012 Chrysler van. On December 20, 2015, Plaintiff	
	23	Ronald Jaragosky was riding in the front passenger seat of the 2001 Ford Explorer. The Explorer	
	24	was driven by non-party Judy Ann Jiworsky northbound on SR-160 in Pahrump, Nevada. As the	
	25	Explorer approached the intersection of SR-160 and Matthew Lane, the 2012 Chrysler van turned	
	26	left in front of the Explorer. The right front of	of the Explorer collided with right front of the

27 Chrysler van. Mr. Jaragosky sustained significant injuries in the crash.

28 ///

On August 1, 2017 Plaintiff filed suit against Ford. Plaintiff alleges claims of negligence and strict product liability and seeks punitive damages. Plaintiff alleges that the 2001 Ford Explorer failed to adequately protect Plaintiff when the airbags did not deploy. Ford denies that the 2001 Ford Explorer was defective or that they are liable for Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

II.

REASON FOR DISCOVERY REQUEST

The parties have diligently pursued discovery in this case. As previously reported to the Court, they had some initial difficulty obtaining the most recent medical records for Plaintiff from the California facilities where Plaintiff is currently residing. Plaintiff is essentially a quadriplegic and requires assistance in most of his activities of daily living. An administrator for Plaintiff's current facility was preventing Plaintiff from executing medical records authorizations which delayed the requesting of his most recent medical records. Plaintiff had to subpoena these records. The parties have now had the opportunity to get most of these documents.

Additionally, after reviewing Ford's production of responsive documents to Plaintiff's written discovery requests, Plaintiff has identified additional documents that he needs prior to expert disclosures. Ford is working on locating and producing those additional documents, but will not be able to do so before the current deadline for Plaintiff's initial expert disclosures. The parties only recently determined that these documents would not be able to be produced prior to the expert disclosure deadlines. For this reason, the parties agree that it will be best to extend all discovery deadlines by 60 days to allow for the remaining written discovery to be completed prior to expert discovery in this case. The parties represent that good cause exists for this extension and that extending the expert deadline within the 21 day period set by LR 26-4 is due to excusable neglect, as set forth herein.

III.

DISCOVERY STATUS

The following discovery has been completed:

- October 10, 2017 Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure Statement
- November 10, 2017 Ford Motor Company's Initial Disclosure Statement

28

1

2

VI.

CONCLUSION

Good cause is exists to extend the discovery deadlines as the parties have worked diligently towards completing fact discovery prior to expert disclosure. Therefore, the parties respectfully ask that this Court grant their request to adjust the case management deadlines as set forth herein.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2018.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2018.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

LAW OFFICES OF LYNN SHUMWAY

By: /s/ Joshua D. Cools Joshua D. Cools Nevada Bar No. 11941 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 By: /s/ G. Lynn Shumway
G. Lynn Shumway
Arizona Bar No. 011714
4647 N. 32nd Street, Suite 230
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Attorneys for Defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY

Cory M. Jones Nevada Bar No. 5028 JONES WILSON, LLP 1522 W. Warm Springs Road Henderson, NV 89014

Brent Ghelfi (*pro hac vice* pending) Arizona Bar No. 011491 GHELFI LAW GROUP, PLLC 4742 North 24th Street, Suite 300 Phoenix, AZ 85016

Attorneys for Plaintiff RONALD R. JARAGOSKY

1 Ronald R. Jaragosky vs. Ford Motor Company Case No. 2:17-CV-02089-RFB-GWF 2 3 **ORDER** IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the case management deadlines are extended as agreed to 4 by the parties in the above stipulation and as follows: 5 6 1. Last day to amend pleadings and add parties: June 1, 2018 7 2. File the interim status report: June 1, 2018 3. Plaintiff's initial expert disclosure: 8 June 1, 2018 9 4. Defendant's initial expert disclosure: July 30, 2018 5. Rebuttal expert disclosure: August 31, 2018 10 6. Discovery cut off: November 27, 2018 11 7. Dispositive motions: December 28, 2018 12 8. Joint pretrial order: 13 January 18, 2019 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 DATED this 30th day of March, 2018. 17 18 19 Prepared and Submitted by: SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 20 21 /s/ Joshua D. Cools Joshua D. Cools 22 Nevada Bar No. 11941 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 23 Las Vegas, NV 89169 24 Attorneys for Defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY 25 4827-0182-7680.1 26 27

28